UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,931	08/27/2003	Jong-Soo Woo	Q110631	8064	
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 08/20/200 ON, PLLC	9	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			SPIVACK, PHYLLIS G		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Interview Summary	10/650,931	WOO ET AL.					
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phyllis G. Spivack	1614					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>Phyllis G. Spivack</u> .	(3)						
(2) <u>Sunhee Lee</u> .	(4)						
Date of Interview: <u>17 August 2009</u> .							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,5,6 and 8-10</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed:							
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ms. Lee initiated a telephone discussion of the Declaration filed under 37 CFR 1.132 on June 5, 2009. The Declaration is improperly executed and was not considered in the Final Office Action mailed August 10, 2009. Due to the absence of the signature of the Declarant, the Examiner is unable to consider and to comment on the status of the application if said document were of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE NTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS NTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Phyllis G. Spivack/							